



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/749,870

12/31/2003

Shelly D. Farnham

MS1-1914US

6293

22801 7590 10/16/2007  
LEE & HAYES PLLC  
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500  
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

RAYYAN, SUSAN F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2167

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/16/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/749,870

Applicant(s)

FARNHAM ET AL.

Examiner

Susan F. Rayyan

Art Unit

2167

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 12-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 12-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed August 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues prior art of record does not disclose identifying components associated with a first endpoint and with a second end point. Applicant finds Isaacs teaches this at column 2, lines 41-50, as identify contacts (components.).

Applicant argues prior art of record does not disclose determining whether any of the identified components are associated with both the first end point and the second end point. Examiner finds Isaacs teaches this limitation at column 1, lines 66-column 2, line 1, as identify priority to one another and column 5, lines 50-60.

Applicant argues prior art of record does not disclose wherein displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point. Nevin does wherein displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point (Figure 4, as name represents a social context).

Applicant argues Martino does not teach display a second end point in a social network. Examiner finds Martino teaches this limitation at (Figure 5, reference number 18).

**DETAILED ACTION**

2. Claims 8,11 are canceled. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-30 are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

**Claims 16,18,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by  
US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino ("Martino")**

**As per claim 16** Martino anticipates:

displaying a first end point (Figure 5, reference number 17);

displaying components associated with the first end point(Figure 5, reference number 12);

displaying a second end point(Figure 5, reference number 18);

displaying components associated with the second end point(Figure 5, reference number 15);

displaying a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number 13);

Art Unit: 2167

displaying a link between the common component and the first end point(Figure 5, reference number L27);

and displaying a link between the common component and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number L28).

determining a path strength associated with the common component by, at least in part,:

determining a first link strength for the link between the common component and the first end point, determining a second link strength for the link between the common component and the second end point, and calculating the path strength based at least in part on the first link strength and the second link strength (see Figure 5, and column 4, lines 17-40).

**As per claim 18**, same as claim arguments above and Martino anticipates:

displaying a second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (Figure 5, reference number I6);

displaying a link between the second common component and the first end point(Figure 5, reference number L26,L12,L27).

and displaying a link between the second common component and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number L56,L58).

**Claim 22** is rejected based on the same arguments as claim 16.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-15, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 6,832,245 issued to Ellen Isaacs et al (“Isaacs”) and by US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino (“Martino”) and of US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III (“Nevin”).**

**As per claim 1** Isaacs teaches:

identifying components associated with a first end point in an environment (column 2, lines 41-50, as identify contacts (components));

identifying components associated with a second end point in the environment (column 2, lines 41-50, as identify contacts (components));

determining whether any of the identified components are associated with both the first end point and the second end point (column 1, lines 66-column 2, line 1, as identify priority to one another and column 5, lines 50-60);

identifying relationships between the first end point, the second end point, and any components associated with both the first end point and the second end point (column 2, lines 60-65, as determine contact id and relationships between).

Isaacs does not explicitly teach displaying relationships by , in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point. Martino does teach displaying relationships by , in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point (See Figure 5: Reference Numbers 17, 12 endpoints) to facilitate a recognition of the relationship among information items. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac with displaying relationships by , in part, displaying ... first end point ... and the second end point to facilitate a recognition of the relationship among information items as described by Martino (column 2, line 65-column 3, line 2).

Isaacs and Martino do not explicitly teach displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point . Nevin does wherein displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end point (Figure 4, as name represents a social context). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac further in view of Martino with displaying relationships includes displaying a social context associated with the first end point and displaying a social context associated with the second end to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin ( paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2167

**As per claim 2**, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

wherein the environment is a social environment (column 1, lines 57-63, as contacts may be related to social networks such as personal life and workplace).

**As per claim 3**, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

further comprising receiving a request to identify relationships between the first end point and the second end point (column 2, lines 45-49 and column 3, lines 9-11, as provide contact network to the user).

**As per claim 4**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

wherein determining whether any of the identified components are associated with both the first end point and the second end point includes determining a path strength for each path between the first end point and the second end point (column 4, lines 17-35, as location to indicate a relational distance from a reference node).

**As per claim 5**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

determining a path strength for each path between the first end point and the second end point and ranking the paths between the first end point and the second end point based on path strength (column 5, lines 7-16 as nodes are ordered left-to-right based on degree of separation).



Art Unit: 2167

**As per claim 6**, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches:

ignoring paths having a path strength below a predetermined threshold(at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold).

**As per claim 7**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

wherein identifying relationships includes identifying only the top ranked paths between the first end point and the second end point (See Figure 5).

**As per claim 9**, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

wherein displaying relationships includes displaying information regarding at least one component (Figure 1, Reference Number 80: Content Display and column 3, lines 5-15 as listing of contacts).

**As per claim 10**, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

wherein displaying relationships includes displaying information regarding at least one link between components(Figure 1, Reference Number 80: Content Display and column 3, lines 5-15 as listing of contacts).

**As per claim 12**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

wherein displaying relationships includes: displaying the first end point and displaying the second end point; and displaying at least one common component associated with the first end point and the second end point (See Figure 5, display endpoints and common components).

Art Unit: 2167

**As per claim 13**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

displaying a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point displaying at least one link between the common component and the first end point and displaying at least one link between the common component and the second end point. (See Figure 5, display endpoints, common components, Links).

**As per claim 14**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

displaying the first end point, displaying the second end point, displaying components associated with the first end point and displaying components associated with the second end point (Figure 5, reference number 17), displaying the second end point (Figure 5, reference number 18) displaying components associated with the first end point (Figure 5, reference number 12) and displaying components associated with the second end point (Figure 5, reference number 15).

**Claim 15 is** rejected based on the same arguments as claim 1.

**As per claim 29**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches;

wherein the path strength is based at least in part on one or more link strengths, wherein individual link strengths are associated with a link between one or both of: the first end point and an identified component associated with both the first end point and the second end point or the second end point and an identified component associated with both the first end point and the second end point (see Figure 5, and column 4, lines 17-40).

**As per claim 30**, same as claim arguments above and Isaacs teaches:

wherein one or both of identifying components associated with a first end point in an environment and identifying components associated with a second end point in the environment are performed at last in part by analyzing an organizational chart (column 2, lines 45-59, as grouping of colleges, a company, an organization).

**Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 6,486,898 issued Jacquelyn Martino ("Martino") and US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III ("Nevin").**

**As per claim 23**, Martino teaches:

display a first end point in a social network (Figure 5, reference number I7);  
display a second end point in a social network(Figure 5, reference number I8);  
identify a common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number I3);  
display the common component associated with the first end point (Figure 5, reference number I2)and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number L27);  
display a link between the common component and the first end point(Figure 5, reference number L27);  
and display a link between the common component and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number L28).

Martino does not explicitly teach displaying ... a social context associated with the ... end point. Nevin does teach displaying ... a social context associated with the ...

Art Unit: 2167

end point (Figure 4, as name represents a social context). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Isaac further in view of Martino with displaying ... a social context associated with the ... end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin ( paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

**As per claim 24**, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further determine a path strength associated with the common component and prevent display of the common component if the path strength is below a threshold (at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold).

**As per claim 25**, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further display a second link between the common component and the first end point (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once) .

**As per claim 26**, same as claim arguments above and Nevin teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further display a second link between the common component and the first end point and display a second link between the common component and the second end point (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once).

Art Unit: 2167

**As per claim 27**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further identify a second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number 16).

**As per claim 28**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

wherein the one or more processors further display the second common component associated with the first end point and the second end point(Figure 5, reference number 16).

**Claims 17,19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martino et al as applied to claims 16,23 above, and further in view of US Publication Number 2005/0086238 issued to Rocky Harry W. Nevin III ("Nevin").**

**As per claim 17**, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not explicitly teach teaches determining a path strength associated with the common component and and preventing the display of the common component if the path strength is below a threshold. Nevin does teach this limitation ( at paragraph 73, as presenting data related to a threshold) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Martino with determining a path strength associated with the common component and

Art Unit: 2167

preventing the display of the common component if the path strength is below a threshold to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin ( paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

**As per claim 19**, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not explicitly teach displaying a second link between the common component and the first end point. Nevin does teach this limitation (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Martino with displaying a second link between the common component and the first end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin ( paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

**As per claim 20**, same as claim arguments above and Martino teaches:

determining a strongest link between the common component and the first end point and highlighting the strongest link between the common component and the first end point (column 6, lines 60-61, highlighting).

**As per claim 21**, same as claim arguments above and Martino does not explicitly teach displaying a second link between the common component and the first endpoint and displaying a second link between the common component and the second end point. Nevin does teach this limitation (at paragraph 199 and Figure 1, as nodes linked together more than once) to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Martino with displaying a second link between the common component and the first endpoint and displaying a second link between the common component and the second end point to give the user easier access to relevant data and visually present large amounts of data and the relationships between them as described by Nevin ( paragraph 172, lines 3-5).

### ***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2167

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
Susan Rayyan

October 10, 2007

  
JOHN COTTINGHAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100